

# Which English Bible corrected your Spanish Bible?

(By Dr. Humberto Gómez)

## “I don't believe the English Bible should correct the Spanish Bible”

The previous statement was made by the most outspoken of our critics; he makes such a statement while holding in his hand a Spanish Bible that was “corrected” by **ENGLISH BIBLES**.

I write this response only because the same statement is being repeated by many others. God's people deserve to know the truth. Please allow me to demonstrate that the great majority of the changes that were made in the 1960 RV Spanish Bible were taken from **ENGLISH BIBLES** (The **ASV & RSV**).

I don't understand why they would even deny it, since Dr. Eugene Nida and Dr. José Flores, of the United Bible Societies, on similar books they have written, like the book “El Texto Del Nuevo Testamento” proudly admit the following. Quote:

A principal added to the first list of the Revision Committee of the Reina-Valera (1960) was: ‘Where the Reina-Valera Version has left the Textus Receptus to follow a better text, we will not return to the Receptus.’ **Point number 12 of the ‘Working Principles’** says: ‘In cases where there is doubt about the correct translation of the original, we will consult preferentially **the English** Revised Version of 1885, the American Standard Version of 1901, the Revised Standard Version of 1946 and the International Critical Commentary.’ End of quote.

These are not my words, but the words of Dr. José Flores.

(1) The Reina-Valera **has left** the Textus Receptus **to follow a better text**.

(2) **We will not return to the Receptus**.

(3) We will consult preferentially **the ENGLISH** Revised Version of 1885, the American Standard Version of 1901, the Revised Standard Version of 1946 and the International Critical Commentary.

I am sure you notice that the Bibles mentioned to be consulted by the 1960 Revisers are **ENGLISH BIBLES**; not only English Bibles, but Bibles based in Westcott and Hort and Nestle-Aland Texts.

The fact of the matter is that these **ENGLISH BIBLES** were not just consulted, they were implemented.

While the Spanish Reina Valera Bible was based on the Textus Receptus, a departure began in 1909 and in the year 1960 an official divorcement took place. They said: **We will not return to the Receptus.**

Allow me to point out just a few words that were erased by the RV 1960 revisers in order to follow these **ENGLISH BIBLES**. These are not my opinions, these are facts.

### **Hell - Infierno**

Our critic also asks: quote: Was it just a coincidence that both the Spanish RVG and the KJV have “hell” exactly 54 times? End of quote.

Can I ask the same question: Was it just a coincidence that both the Spanish 1960 and the ASV have “hell” (Infierno) exactly 13 times? The 1960 revisers completely erased the word Hell from the Old Testament, as did the **ASV**. They also reduced the word hell to merely 13 times in the NT. Is it a coincidence? Perhaps.

**ASV Hades 10 times - 1960 Hades 10 times**

**ASV Hell 13 times - 1960 Infierno 13 times**

**ASV Sheol 65 times - 1960 Seol 65 times**

Why is it wrong to follow the King James Bible, but it is okay to follow the American Standard Version?

Words that remained in the RV Spanish Bible for 400 years were erased from the RV 1960.

### **Charity - Caridad**

No more charity in the Spanish Bible. Until I die I will defend the fact that the Greek word <agape> can be translated either way, charity or love, but that does not justify the total erasing of the word. There is an English Bible that also erased the word **charity**, the **ASV**. Is it a coincidence? Perhaps.

## **Rightly dividing (Traza) is gone.**

It stood in the RV Spanish Bible for 400 years. The word **Traza** (Rightly dividing) is a very rich word in the Spanish language. It is a word used in architecture and fine art; in painting, design, drawing, and in sculpture. It is the art of rightly dividing and putting every thing where it belongs. But the word **Traza (Rightly divide)** was erased and replaced with “uses well” The ASV “handles well”. Is it a coincidence? Perhaps.

## **Unicorn - Unicornio**

Several fundamentalists have written to me, chiding me about the word **unicorn** (unicornio). They say: Why did you dare to change the word of God? The **unicorn** (unicornio) is a mythological horse that never existed. Seriously, they think that I changed it, when the word **unicorn** has been in the Reina Valera Bible for more than 400 years, 1602, 1862, 1909 and RVG04 All have it unicornio.

So the 1960 Revisers removed it from the RV and replaced it with the word “Buffalo”. Unicorn remained there for 400 years. There is an **ENGLISH BIBLE** that did exactly the same thing; you guessed it, the **ASV**. Is it a coincidence? Perhaps.

## **A Whale, a dragon or a sea monster?**

### **¿Ballena, dragón o monstruo marino?**

**Job 7:12** ¿Soy yo el mar, o un **monstruo marino**, Para que me pongas guarda? **1960**

**Job 7:12** "Am I a sea, or a **sea-monster**, That thou settest a watch over me?" (**ASV**)

**Eze 32:2** ... y eres como **el dragón en los mares**; pues secabas tus ríos, y enturbiabas las aguas con tus pies, y hollabas sus riberas. **1960**

**Eze 32:2** ... "You consider yourself a lion among the nations, but you are like a **dragon in the seas**" (**RSV**)

**Eze 32:2**... eres como **la vallena en las mares** RV **1602** (Ballena **RVG**)

What happened with the word “Ballena” Whale? Is it a coincidence? Perhaps.

## **Pisseth against the wall - Meante a la pared**

The phrase “**pisseth against the wall**” (meante a la pared) was totally erased from the Reina Valera Bible 1960.

The **ASV ENGLISH BIBLE** also erased the phrase, “**pisseth against the wall.**” Is it a coincidence? Perhaps.

## Sabbath - Sábado

The word sabbath (sábado) was totally erased from the Reina Valera Bible 1960.

The **ASV ENGLISH BIBLE** also removed the word **Sabbath**. Is it a coincidence? Perhaps.

### Christ was not in the desert?

1Co 10:9 Neither let us make trial of **the Lord**, as some of them made trial, and perished by the serpents. **ASV W&H**

1Co 10:9 Ni tentemos al **Señor**, como también algunos de ellos le tentaron, y perecieron por las serpientes. **RV 1960**

The word **Christ** remained for 400 years in the Reina Valera Bible. But they took an **ENGLISH BIBLE**, the **ASV** and “corrected it.” Is it a coincidence? Perhaps.

1Co 10:9 Neither let us tempt **Christ**, as some of them also tempted, and were destroyed of serpents. **KJV TR**

1Co 10:9 Ni tentemos a **Cristo**, como también algunos de ellos *le* tentaron, y perecieron por las serpientes. **RVG (RV 1602 Cristo)**

### Is Jesus the Creator?

Eph 3:9 And to make all *men* see what *is* the fellowship of the mystery, which from the beginning of the world hath been hid in God, who created all things **by Jesus Christ**: **KJV**

Ef 3:9 y de alumbrar a todos cuál sea la dispensación del misterio escondido desde los siglos en Dios, que crió todas las cosas **por Jesu Christo; 1602,**

Eph 3:9 y de aclarar a todos cuál *es* la comunión del misterio escondido desde el principio del mundo en Dios, que creó todas las cosas **por Jesucristo; RVG**

Eph 3:9 and to make all men see what is the dispensation of the mystery which for ages hath been hid in God who created all things\_\_\_\_\_; **ASV**

Eph 3:9 y de aclarar a todos cuál sea la dispensación del misterio escondido desde los siglos en Dios, que creó todas las cosas\_\_\_\_\_; **RV 1960.**

The words **by Jesus Christ** (*por Jesucristo*) remained for 400 years in the Reina Valera Bible. But they took an **ENGLISH BIBLE**, the **ASV** and “corrected it.” Is it a coincidence? Perhaps.

We did not have to follow the KJV English Bible for all these words and verses; The Spanish Reina Valera Bible had them right for 400 years.

I can give you several more examples, but these should suffice to demonstrate that English Bibles were not only consulted, but implemented. Hundreds of Bible verses in the RV 1960 come from the **ENGLISH BIBLES (ASV and RSV)**.

It is very hypocritical that our critics accuse us of following an English Bible (The KJV) when the revisers of their Spanish Bible made several hundred of changes **following ENGLISH BIBLES (ASV & RSV)**. Maybe the statement of our critic should be; **“I don't believe the KJV Bible should correct the Spanish Bible, only the ASV and the RSV”**

It is your prerogative to use the Bible of your choice; nobody should obligate you to use a Bible that you don't trust; but please, be honest. God's people deserve to know the truth.

If we are “accused” of following the English reading in Dan 3:25 we don't denied it; we agree 100%; it is the truth. **We are guilty as charged!** We believe that it is a much better rendering; and it is based in the Masoretic Text.

Dan 3:25 Respondió él y dijo: He aquí yo veo cuatro varones sueltos, que se pasean en medio del fuego, y ningún daño hay en ellos; y el parecer del cuarto es semejante **al Hijo de Dios**. (The **Son of God**: instead of: the son of the **gods**)

But if you implied that we follow the English in...

Lu 23:42 Y dijo a Jesús: **Señor (Lord)**, acuérdate de mí cuando vengas en tu reino. **You are guilty of not telling the truth.**

I am perplexed by the attitude of some Fundamentalist leaders that are willing to take a “strong stand” only when it's convenient.

They swiftly and “bravely” side with the majority; but when the truth is on the side of the minority, and there is a price to pay, they explain away their position. They would rather remain “neutral” and not face the issue, hoping for a miraculous solution that everybody will embrace with no price to pay.

It is very saddening to witness the dethronement (by the Critical Text) of the God honoring Traditional Text (The Textus Receptus) in front of the “watchful” eyes of fundamentalism. Not in Spanish only, but **in all the languages of the world**.

May God grant us some grit to take a stand for what is right.

Dr. Humberto Gómez

Sábado

X Una palabra que ha dado mucho juego entre los adventistas ha desaparecido. La voz hebrea «sabbath», que en hebreo no es un día de la semana, sino una institución religiosa, o «día de reposo». Así ha desaparecido del Nuevo Testamento la palabra «sábado» y las frases son equivalentes a «tus discípulos hacen lo que no es lícito hacer *en el día de reposo*».

~~Señor~~ Los judíos siempre dieron mucha importancia al nombre de Jehová y hay varias teorías elaboradas acerca de la etimología del nombre con sus implicaciones teológicas. También se registra el hecho de que las versiones protestantes han sido las únicas en llevar ese nombre por cuatro siglos y ahora que aparece en las versiones católicas nosotros lo estamos suprimiendo. Por lo tanto, el Comité cambió la palabra Jehová por Señor en casi todos los textos del Antiguo Testamento, aunque dejó la palabra Jehová en ciertos pasajes donde, como en Exodo 6, parecía difícil sustituirlo.

X  
1960  
sources

Un principio añadido a la lista primera del Comité de Revisión de la Reina-Valera fue que: «Dondequiera que la versión Reina-Valera se ha apartado del Textus Receptus para seguir otro texto mejor, nosotros no volveremos al Receptus.» El punto 12 de los «Working principales» dice: «En casos de duda sobre la correcta traducción del original, consultaremos preferentemente la English Revised Version de 1885, la American Standard Version de 1901, la Revised Standard Version de 1946 y el International Critical Commentary.»

En España muchas quejas llegaron a nuestra oficina sobre la Revisión Valera 1960; que la palabra «violentos» en Mateo 11:2 no va bien, siendo así que «El reino de los cielos se hace fuerte» en la anterior traducción era más comprensible; que 1.<sup>a</sup> Corintios 13:5 (indecoroso... guarda rencor) quedaba mejor en